2772	U.S. Postal Service™ CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)				
4801	OFFICIAL USE				
0000	Certified Fee CONSOLIDATION COAL COMPANY Return Receipt Fee C/015015 EMERY DEEP				
2570	Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) Total Postage & Fees \$				
7005	JOHN A. GEFFERTH P.O. BOX 566 SESSER, ILLINOIS 62884				
	PS Form 3800, June 2002 See Reverse for Instructions				

Certified Mail Provides:

A mailing receipt

PS Form 3800, June 2002 (Reverse)

A unique identifier for your mailpiece A record of delivery kept by the Postal Service for two years

Important Reminders:

Certified Mail may ONLY be combined with First-Class Mail® or Priority Mail®. ■ Certified Mail is not available for any class of international mail.

■ NO INSURANCE COVERAGE IS PROVIDED with Certified Mail. For valuables, please consider Insured or Registered Mail.

- For an additional fee, a Return Receipt may be requested to provide proof of delivery. To obtain Return Receipt service, please complete and attach a Return Receipt (PS Form 3811) to the article and add applicable postage to cover the fee. Endorse mailpiece "Return Receipt Requested". To receive a fee waiver for a duplicate return receipt, a USPS_® postmark on your Certified Mail receipt is required. required.
- For an additional fee, delivery may be restricted to the addressee or addressee's authorized agent. Advise the clerk or mark the mailpiece with the endorsement "Restricted Delivery".
- If a postmark on the Certified Mail receipt is desired, please present the article at the post office for postmarking. If a postmark on the Certified Mail receipt is not needed, detach and affix label with postage and mail.

IMPORTANT: Save this receipt and present it when making an inquiry. Internet access to delivery information is not available on mail addressed to APOs and FPOs.



GARY R. HERBERT Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

April 24, 2008

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7005 2570 0000 4801 7772

John A. Gefferth, Environmental Engineer Consolidation Coal Company P.O. Box 566 Sesser, Illinois 62884

Subject: Finalized Assessment for Notice of Violation #10018, Consolidation Coal Company,

Emery Deep Mine, C/015/0015, Outgoing File

Dear Mr. Gefferth:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the finalized civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, Karl Houskeeper, on January 24, 2008. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the finalized penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

- 1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of this violation</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director, Associate Director or assigned conference officer. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the finalized penalty.
- 2. If you wish to review the finalized penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.



Page 2 John Gefferth April 24, 2008,

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the penalty(ies) will become final, and will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the finalized assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,

Joseph C. Helfrich, Assessment Officer

JH/vs Enclosure

OSM Compliance Report Vickie Southwick, DOGM Price Field Office

O:\015015.EME\DRAFT\FINALASSESSMENT_N10018.DOC

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

COM	PANY /	MINE	Consolidation Coal	Company			
PERN	ERMIT <u>C/015/015</u> NOV / CO # <u>10018</u>						
ASSE	ESSME	NT DA	ΓΕ <u>April 24, 2008</u>				
ASSE	ESSME	NT OFF	ICER <u>Joe Helfrich</u>	-			
I.	<u>HIST</u>	ORY ((Max. 25 pts.)				
	A.		nere previous violation ar of today's date?	ns, which are not pending or v	racated, which fall one		
	PREV	'IOUS	VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS		
	None						
	 						
				t violation, up to one (1) year st violation in a CO, up to one	e (1) year		
			No pending notices	-			
				TOTAL HI	STORY POINTS		
II.	SERIOUSNESS (Either A or B)						
	NOTE:		For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:				
		1.	Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls.				
			adjust the points up	d-point of the category, the A or down, utilizing the inspect g documents.			
		Is this	an EVENT (A) or H	INDRANCE (B) violation? _	Event		
	A.	EVEN	NT VIOLATION (Ma	ax 45 pts.)			
1. What is the event which the violated standard was designed					s designed to prevent?		
		2.	What is the probabil standard was design	lity of the occurrence of the event of the event?	vent which a violated		

<u>PROBABILITY</u>	<u>RANGE</u>
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 20

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

- *** The permittee initiated construction activities (expanding the storage yard and relocating the existing fence) without receiving the Division's approval. While the Operator had submitted an amendment to do this work, the inspector indicates that this activity was not approved. The event (conducting activities without appropriate approvals) has actually occurred.
 - 3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?

RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS 0

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

The inspector indicates that there is no damage as a result of the excavation. All disturbance was within the existing disturbed area of the mine.

- B. HINDRANCE VIOLATION (Max 25 pts.)
 - 1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? ___

RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS _____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B)_____

- III. <u>NEGLIGENCE</u> (Max 30 pts.)
 - A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee

to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO-GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence 0
Negligence 1-15
Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE negligence

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** This violation was the result of indifference to the DOGM regulations or the lack of reasonable care. A prudent operator would understand the need to acquire DOGM approval prior to construction of any facilities on site. In this case the Operator was premature in constructing the facilities. This shows ordinary negligence, which equates to the middle of the range.

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

• Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

• Rapid Compliance -1 to -10 (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

• Normal Compliance 0

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

- *Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.
- B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation	Diffi	cult	Aha	tement	Situ	atio
-------------------------------	-------	------	-----	--------	------	------

• Rapid Compliance

-11 to -20*

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

• Normal Compliance

-1 to -10*

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

Extended Compliance

0

(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete) (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

F.	Δ	$\nabla \mathbf{V}$	OR	DIEEL	CIIIT	ABATEMENT?	
L)	٦.	IJΙ	\mathcal{L}	DIFFI	CULL	ADATEMENT	

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS <u>0</u>	
-----------------------------------	--

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

***According to the inspector statement the violation occurred "willfully"

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

NOT	ICE OF VIOLATION # N 10018	
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	20
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	8
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	0
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	28
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 880